

APEC ARCHITECT

By Authority of the Central Council of the APEC ARCHITECT REGISTER and upon recommendation of the Australian Monitoring Committee

ARCHITECT'S NAME

has been admitted to the Register as

APEC ARCHITECT

and is entitled to all rights and honours thereto appertaining Certificate No. AU/00001 Valid to: 00/00/2006 this 17th day of October, 2006



Chair of Australian Monitoring Committee



APEC ARCHITECT

ARCHITECT'S NAME

Australia AU 00001 Valid through to 31/12/2007

The bearer of this card is an architect enrolled on the APEC Register which is maintained jointly by the member economies

SIGNATURE OF THE BEARER



MONITORING COMMITTEE SURVEY REPORT TO CENTRAL COUNCIL Report Period: 1 July xxxx – 1 January xxxx

Na	Name of Economy: Please provide the following information and appropriate comments as requested.				
Ple					
Α.	APEC ARCHITECT REGISTER DATABASE				
1.	Please state the total number of architects on your economy's section of the APEC Architect Register?				
	Number of APEC Architects:				
How many APEC architects have been admitted to, and removed from your economy's section of the Architect Register during the six month report period?					
	Admissions: Removals:				
3.	Did any applications for registration as an APEC Architect in the report period require more than 3 months to process? Yes / No				
4.	If the answer to 3 is 'Yes', please briefly describe the reasons for the extended assessment period				
	Comment:				
5.	Were any applications for registration as an APEC Architect rejected, or any significant problems encountered, in the report period? Yes / No				
6.	If the answer to 5 is 'Yes', please briefly describe the circumstances.				

	Comment:
1.	B. APEC ARCHITECT MOBILITY At the start of the 6 month report period, which of the following reciprocal requirements for the professional recognition of APEC Architects from other economies had been made by your economy: a) Domain specific tests b) Comprehensive examination c) Host economy experience/residency
	d) Other a) b) c) d) Please state:
2.	During the report period, have any changes been made to the recognition requirements for APEC Architects from other participating economies stated above?
3	Yes / No If the answer to 2 is 'Yes', please briefly describe the circumstances.
	Comment:
3.	How many APEC Architects from other economies are currently registered / \licensed to practise as architects in your economy?
	Number of APEC Architects:
4.	Please state the number, and home economy, of APEC Architects admitted to registration / licensure in your economy during the 6 month report period.
	Number: Home economies::
5.	In those economies that require APEC Architects from elsewhere only to undergo domain specific tests, please describe briefly what aspects of architectural practice are reviewed for this purpose.

	Comment:		
6.	Are tests on domain specific issues conducted by interview, written examination or a combination of both?		
	Comment:		
C.	NOTIFICATION OF RELEVANT CHANGES TO HOME ECONOMY PROFESSIONAL RECOGNITION REQUIREMENTS		
1.	During the 6 month report period, have any changes been made to the professional recognition systems in your economy that may conflict with agreed APEC Architect criteria and policy?		
	Yes / No		
2.	If the answer to 1 is 'Yes', please briefly describe any relevant changes to: a) architectural education b) accreditation/recognition systems c) registration/licensure requirements		
	Comment:		
D.	ADDITIONAL MATTERS FOR CONSIDERATION BY THE CENTRAL COUNCIL		
1.	Please advise the Central Council of any significant developments, new policy directions, forthcoming legislation or other activities in your economy that may facilitate the mobility of architects within the APEC region.		
	Comment:		
2.	You are invited to raise any matters of concern relating to APEC Architect provisions and policy, or to put forward any suggestion for their improvement for the consideration of the Central Council.		
Comment			

THE APEC ARCHITECT RECIPROCAL RECOGNITION FRAMEWORK

The Reciprocal Recognition Framework identifies participating economies that have adopted the same registration / certification requirements for APEC Architects from foreign economies, thus establishing a reciprocal basis for the recognition of APEC Architects from those economies. In assessing APEC Architects from economies with more restrictive categories of requirements, host economies may impose similar requirements to those of the applicant's economy.

Domain Specific Assessment Understanding of legal and technical issues unique to the host economy.	Comprehensive Registration Examination Examination of all skills and knowledge required for the practice of architecture	Host Economy Residence / Experience At least one year of professional experience in host economy prior to registration examination
AUSTRALIA CHINESE TAIPEI JAPAN MEXICO NEW ZEALAND SINGAPORE UNITED STATES		HONG KONG CHINA

OTHER PROFESSIONAL RECOGNITION ARRANGEMENTS

Other APEC Architect participating economies do not yet provide for the independent practice of architects from other economies. It is understood that they are working towards liberalising their current restrictions in the near future.

ITEM 9 - APEC Architect Reciprocal Recognition Framework

The Central Council agrees to introduce a Reciprocal Recognition Framework to provide a structured basis for the reciprocal recognition of home economies' registration / certification requirements for APEC Architects from foreign economies.

The Central Council agrees that:

- the Framework to be based on the following three categories of registration/ certification requirements:
 - a) Domain specific assessment
 - b) Comprehensive registration examination
 - c) Period of host economy residence/experience

The Central Council notes that some participating economies do not yet provide for the independent practice of architects from other economies. It is understood that they will work towards liberalising their current restrictions in the near future.

The Central Council agrees that:

- each economy nominate the most liberal of the three categories of registration/ certification requirements it is prepared to offer APEC Architects from other economies;
- in order to maintain a reciprocal basis for the assessment of applicants from economies
 that have committed to a more restrictive category of registration /certification
 requirements, an economy may choose to impose a similar level of requirements to that
 of the applicant's economy;
- the commitments made by each economy to categories of professional registration /certification to be recorded in standard format on each Monitoring Committee website and summarised as the Reciprocal Recognition Framework on the APEC Architect Central Council website;
- any changes to an economy's professional registration / certification requirements to be notified immediately to the Central Council;
- participating economies with similar reciprocal recognition commitments consider negotiation of mutual recognition agreements in the near future.

APPENDIX 6

SECRETARIAT SCHEDULE

YEAR	ECONOMY
2007	MEXICO
2009	USA
2011	NEW ZEALAND
2013	CANADA
2015	MALAYSIA
2017	PR CHINA
2019	PHILIPPINES
2021	THAILAND
2023	SINGAPORE
2025	KOREA
2027	JAPAN
2029	AUSTRALIA
2031	CH. TAIPEI

3. 亞太建築師第三次中央議會綜合結論

(中央議會秘書處 2008年8月27日電子郵件附傳檔案)

Summary Conclusions Third Central Council Meeting 7- 8 August 2008 Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

The following decisions reached on each item of the Agenda were put to each delegation for final consideration and were adopted as the Summary Conclusions of the third meeting of the Central Council. Each delegation was asked to confirm to the Secretariat the endorsement of the Summary Conclusions by the Monitoring Committee in its economy within three months of receipt of the Meeting Summary.

Item 3: Adoption of the Agenda

The Agenda was adopted as submitted with the amendment by USA to add a presentation from the Funding Task Force under Agenda item 9.2

Item 4: Confirmation of the Summary Conclusions of the Second Central Council APEC Architect meeting

Hong Kong requested to amend Appendix 4 of the Summary Conclusions of the Second Central Council APEC Architect meeting, by changing their restrictive requirement under the APEC Architect Reciprocal Recognition Framework 2006 to "Domain Specific Assessment".

Motion approved by Council.

• Item 5: Constitution of the APEC Architect Central Council

All Economies read the names of their delegation into the record and the Central Council was declared constituted.

The Central Council Members agreed to provide a complete list of their Monitoring Committees by the end of the meeting.

Item 6.1: Update on APEC Architect Register

The Secretariat advised the Council of the need to apply the new logo to all APEC Architect Project documents as soon as possible.

Economies who have not yet conformed with the basic requirements agreed upon by the Central Council for their APEC Architect websites and the APEC Architect Register indicated they would correct deficiencies.

• Item 6.2: Documentation

Central Council ratified the adoption of the standard template APEC Architect Certificate of Registration and APEC Architect ID Card designed by Australia. The Central Council congratulated Australia on their work.

Economies who have not yet adopted this design for these documents agreed they would implement it as soon as possible.

Item 6.3: Monitoring Committee Reports to Council

Council moved to maintain a reporting period of six months and all economies have agreed to comply with this reporting period.

Item 7: Proposal on course of action if any participating economy failed to comply with Council rules

A Motion was proposed to establish a Working Committee to develop a set of polices and guidelines for the non-compliance with both administrative procedures and APEC Architect registration criteria.

Proposed by Malaysia, seconded by Canada, and adopted by Third Central Council.

Singapore, Malaysia and Mexico volunteered to work on the Committee.

• Item 8.2: Proposals on the APEC Architect Reciprocal Recognition Framework

It was proposed and agreed by the Third Central Council that the APEC Architect Recognition Framework be modified in format as shown below to encourage all economies to move up the scale to remove restrictions.

Complete Mobility

No requirement other than APEC Architect status

Domain Specific Assessment

Understanding of legal and technical issues unique to the host economy.

United States of America Singapore

New Zealand Republic of Mexico

Japan Australia

Chinese Taipei

Comprehensive Registration Examination

Examination of all skills and knowledge required for the practice of architecture

Host Economy Residence / Experience

At least one year of professional experience in host economy prior to registration examination

Local Collaboration

Association required with an architect from the host economy

Republic of Philippines Malaysia

Republic of Korea Hong Kong, China

People's Republic of China Canada

No Recognition

No recognition of APEC Architect status

All Central Council Members reconfirmed their commitment to develop a multilateral framework for reciprocal recognition of APEC Architects which will allow independent practice by architects from other economies in the host economy and all members agreed to work towards liberalizing current restrictions which prevent this APEC Architect Reciprocal Recognition.

• Item 9.2: Approval of Funding Formula for the Secretariat.

Central Council approved a funding formula based on two factors:

- Purchasing Power Parity and
- Number of registered architects in each economy.

Central Council members approved the reimbursement to Mexico for their service as Secretariat, for a total of 72,000 \$USD.

The Secretariat will send invoices for payment of services of the Secretariat for the past two years in the month of October for payment by the member economies within 30 days. The invoice will be based on the Funding Formula approved by Central Council.

Central Council agreed that the Philippines and New Zealand anticipate funding (to a maximum of 45,900 \$USD annually) from Central Council in accordance with the Funding Formula and that no registration fees are anticipated for Central Council meetings hosted by these two Secretariats.

Item 9.3: Acceptance to the Schedule of Rotation for Monitoring Committees to act as Secretariat

The Council approved the Republic of the Philippines as the Secretariat for 2009-2010 and New Zealand as the Secretariat for 2011-2012.

Item 9.4: Procedures to hand over the Secretariat to a new economy

The Council approved the addition of item 6.1 - Mechanism, Documents And Procedures To Hand Over The Secretariat To Following Economy - to the APEC Architect Operations Manual (2006).

Direction was provided to the new Secretariat for the establishment of permanent archives, financial report information and specify use of standardized digital formats for documents: MS Word, MS Excel for active files and PDF for archival documents.

• Item 10: Promotion of the APEC Architect Register

The Central Council members agreed to promote the APEC Architect Register and report successful promotional activities when they occur to the Secretariat to be featured on the Central Council website.

Item 13: Next Meeting of the Central Council

The Central Council approved that the 2010 Fourth Central Council meeting would take place in the Republic of the Philippines and that the 2012 Fifth Central Council meeting would take place in New Zealand.

國家圖書館出版品預行編目資料

亞太建築師相互認許架構:中央議會成果與展

望,第三次/黄慶章 作. -- 臺北市:考選部,

民 97.09

面: 公分

參考書目:面

ISBN 978-986-01-5409-2 (平裝)

1. 建築師 2. 會議

440.5065 97017971

書 名:亞太建築師相互認許架構:第三次中央議會

成果與展望

編 印:考 選 部

作 者:黄 慶 章

出版機關:考選 部

地 址:台北市 116 文山區試院路一之一號

網 址:http://www.moex.gov.tw

電 話:(02)22369188轉3377

傳 真:(02)22363672

出版年月:九十七年九月

GPN: 1009702448

ISBN: 978-986-01-5409-2 (平裝)